From: ***PERSONAL IDENTIFIERS REMOVED***
Sent: Wednesday, April 28, 2010 7:41:19 PM
To: Living Water Smart ENV:EX; A. Mitchell; James.MLA, Carole A LASS:EX; Carradine, Ken PSA:EX; Cunningham, Sarah ABR:EX; Denise Savoie; Dusterhoft, Carrie ABR:EX; Gilles Duceppe; Jack Layton; Jarymy, Stephanie HSD:EX; John Godfrey; Kirkpatrick, Faye CD:EX; Link, Alexandra M ABR:EX; Morris, Carolyn ABR:EX; Paul Martin; Paul Martin; OfficeofthePremier, Office PREM:EX; Stephane Dion; Stephen Harper
Subject: WAM Comment Submission

To Whom it may concern,

Please consider my comments on the Water Act Modernization:

Water is recognized as a human right and part of the commons. Water is owned collectively by all and held in trust by government to manage in the public interest. This needs to be protected!

A broadened scope of discussion for true water protection needs to include land use (like resource extraction activities) since you can't protect the water without considering the land.

Water Act should not devolve some uses and protections to other acts (Section 9 of the Water Act devolves water protection to various other Ministries Acts (Forestry, Mining, Gas & Oil))

Watershed Reserves must be re-established for source protection from forestry and other resource extraction activities. Watershed reserves would protect our drinking water supplies.

Collaborative science, traditional knowledge and local history should inform all allocation decisions. The precautionary principle should be functioning at all times (no harm proof prior to new uses, not mitigation after damage). First Nations social and cultural practices associated with water must be respected and accommodated.

We need a Governance model: a FULLY FUNDED local government model with local decision-making power. Since there is no funding and the government may never grant local decision making powers, it would be best to go with the STATUS QUO (no change model) until broader scope, full funding and full public consultation is part of the process.

FITFIR (First in time, First in right system) must remain unchanged since it is a self-regulating system for over-allocation problems. Modifying FITFIR could allow government to remove water rights from established farms,water systems, and other uses.

Domestic Use licensed use should NOT be changed to a "permitted" use in order to retain legal rights Public involvement must be enlarged to cover further steps in the process. The government decision making model shows the workshop/comments as our only chance for input, and this is not enough.

FITFIR and Domestic Use must remain unchanged!

Sincerely,

PERSONAL IDENTIFIERS REMOVED