

# U.S. Entity Recommendations on the Columbia River Treaty

CBRAC October 14, 2016 Revelstoke

Kathy Eichenberger Executive Director, Columbia River Treaty Review BC Ministry of Energy and Mines



### **US Process**

- Sovereign Review Team Process
- Regional Listening Sessions
- Stakeholder Outreach
- Public comment on draft recommendations
- Submission to Department of State December 2013
- Federal Interest Review Circular 175 Process

- SRT: BPA, USACE, 5 Tribal representatives representing 15 Tribes, federal agencies (NMFS, USFWS, BOR, BLM, EPA, USFS, USGS, BIA, NPS), state representatives from ORE, WA, MT, ID
- Listening sessions directed at the public, held in several towns and cities in the relevant states.
- Stakeholder outreach included navigation sector, irrigation/agriculture sector, power sector, ENGOs.
- Circ 175 standard process closed to relevant federal departments, leading to recommendations to State Department for a negotiating mandate signed off October 7<sup>th</sup> by the Undersecretary of State.



### **General Principles**

- Greatest possible shared benefits from the coordination as compared to no longer coordinating Treaty storage operations
- 2. The health of the Columbia River ecosystem should be a shared benefit and cost
- ❖ 3. Treaty duration post-2024 should be long enough for operation planning, but adaptable to new information and changing conditions

- Shared benefits include: ecosystem, hydropower, and flood risk management, as well as water supply, recreation, navigation, and other pertinent benefits and uses
- Comparison to no Treaty as opposed to no dams and reservoirs – an important distinction
- The third principle is confusing as the Treaty has no end date therefore the duration is evergreen as long as the 10 year termination notice is not served: however the intention is sound.



### General Principles - cont'd

- 4. All operations of the Treaty should be based on the best available science and measurable outcomes
- 5. U.S. federal reservoirs/projects will continue to meet authorized uses consistent with applicable legislation
- 6. The United States and Canada should pursue a more coordinated use of Treaty and Canadian non-Treaty storage under the Treaty

- Measurable outcome to the extent practicable.
- US desire is to incorporate NTSA into the Treaty



### **General Principles – cont'd**

- 7. A strategy for adapting the Treaty to future changes in climate is needed
- ❖ 8. U.S. interests should ensure that costs associated with any Treaty operation are aligned with the appropriate party
- 9. Implementation of ecosystem-based functions in the Treaty should be compatible with rebalancing the entitlement and reducing U.S. power costs

-

- Climate change strategy should be "resilient, adaptable, flexible, and timely as conditions warrant". Challenge is to define such a strategy.
- There is discussion in the US between costs borne by ratepayers versus taxpayers



### **Recommendations - Hydropower**

- 1. Rebalancing the power benefits to reflect the actual value of coordinated operations
- 2. "Aspects of Delivery Agreement" should be renegotiated
- 3. Maintain an economical and reliable power minimise reduction in generation capability
- 4. Avoid substantial changes during peak load periods that result in lower system reliability or flexibility

- US maintains that incremental power from the Treaty is not fully realised. BC maintains that the Canadian Entitlement (CE) is tied to <u>planned</u> Treaty operations that are designed to maximum power production; if US choses to re-regulate for other purposes BC should not be penalized and CE should not be reduced.
- "Aspects of Delivery Agreement" means a) when the CE is returned to BC/scheduling and b) requirement to return CE via transmission line to Oliver
- 3. describes key power industry concerns: lost revenue, system reliability, loss-of-load probability, carbon emissions, renewable resource integration, shifts in streamflow quantity and timing due to climate change.
- 4. is about capacity to meet peak load.



### **Recommendations - Flood Control**

- 1. Maintain acceptable level of flood risk similar to the level of risk existing prior to 2024
- 2. Assess potential alternatives post-2024, including using planned or assured Canadian Storage
- 3. Establish a common understanding of the methods and procedures for post-2024 Called Upon

- 1. indicates no increase in flood risk compared to current.
- 2. suggests maintaining the current flood risk in US through coordinated and planned operations with BC.
- 3. reflects disagreement between Canadian and US Entities on interpretation of called Upon Treaty provisions. BC disagrees with US assumptions that: CU would apply only when all available Canadian power storage is used; that US reservoirs would use current SRD that is not effective use; that effective use would apply to only 8 authorized reservoirs.



### Recommendations - Flood Control - cont'd

- 4. Identify reasonable compensation to Canada for CU economic losses and operating costs based on US policy
- 5. Enable flexibility to adapt to climate change (such as the potential for more frequent and intense winter flood events)

- 4. Compensation will be negotiated, not determined by US.
- 5. New provision to deal with extreme events (e.g 1997 Willamette flooding, 2013 extreme rain on snow event).



# **Recommendations - Ecosystems**

- 1. Provide timing, quantity and quality stream flows from Canada for anadromous and resident fish
  - Formalise long term current and future flow augmentation
  - Incorporate a dry-year strategy
  - Develop long term ecosystem strategy (as opposed to annual supplementary agreements
- 2. Minimize effects to cultural resources



### Recommendations - Ecosystems cont'd

- 3. Adapt ecosystem-based function based on new information or change of circumstances, and based on the management priorities of both countries.
- 4. Pursue a joint program on reintroduction of anadromous fish on the main stem Columbia River to Canadian spawning grounds
- 5. Continue to coordinate Libby Dam operations with Canada to achieve mutually desirable ecosystem benefits.

- 3. Place holder for climate change effects on fish populations.
- 4. Incremental program starting with reconnaissance; measures at federal installations such as Chief Joseph and Grand Coulee Dams would require congressional approval and funding.
- 5. There are still unresolved issues for BC regarding Libby Dam operations.



# **Recommendations - Water Supply**

- Storage and release of water from Canada in the spring and summer for irrigation and municipal/industrial uses
- Need for irrigation will only increase to meet growing regional food supply and security
- Increased water supply should not affect upstream US reservoirs, ecosystem-based function and water rights

11

• Water supply in US will also be negatively impacted by climate change – reduced snow pack, earlier snow melt and lower flows in summer/early fall.



# **Recommendations - Navigation**

 Provide river flows that ensure safe navigation, efficient cargo movement, maintenance of navigation infrastructure

#### **Recommendations - Recreation**

Protect recreational and cultural opportunities

### **Recommendations - Climate Change**

 Allow for adaptive management of Treaty operations to better mitigate any impacts of climate change on all elements of the Treaty



# **Recommendations - Timing**

- U.S. government make a decision by mid-2014
- Complete negotiations by no later than 2015
- If unable to achieve agreement on key aspects by 2015, other options to create a modernized post-2024 Treaty should be evaluated

- •US government has now made a decision to negotiate changes to the Treaty; negotiating mandate "informed" by the regional recommendations.
- Not certain what "other options" may entail.



### Recommendations - Domestic Issues

- Flood risk policy review
- Water supply allocation
- Assessment of Canadian Entitlement
- Plan for Post-2024 Treaty Implementation
- US floodplain reconnection
- US advisory mechanism
- Composition of US Entity

- Process and timing to undertake domestic issues undefined.
- A number of Tribes and stakeholders believe USACE should accept greater flood risk and allow low level flooding to benefit floodplain ecosystems.
- Process to develop a plan to implement modern treaty post-2024 including any new ecosystem-based function, flood risk management, hydropower provisions.
- To advance selective flood plain reconnection for the purpose of achieving additional benefits from a modernized Treaty, in conjunction with Tribes, feds, states, NWPCC and NOAA.
- To establish a domestic advisory mechanism to assist, inform, and advise the Department of State in the negotiations.
- Entity composition to be reviewed to be best suited to implement Treaty post-2024.