From: nobody@scribe.env.gov.bc.ca
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 10:07:38 AM
To: Living Water Smart ENV:EX
Subject: Water Act Modernization Discussion Paper Feedback

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by
() on 2010 04 26, at 10:07:38

PERSONAL IDENTIFIERS REMOVED
ContactMethod: Email

Principles_Support: Disagree

Principles_Comments: I agree to the idea of stream health and aquatic environments.

I however strongly disagree to the idea of giving the Government the right to continue to privatize and sell off our water to private companies nor do I think that the Government should have the right to extract large amounts of groundwater or be able to re-route it for the use in damns and such. Water should be a right for all people in terms of its health and consumption for drinking. It should not be something that the government owns or is able to sell off! I do however think that there should be laws against wasting huge amounts of water to wash your sidewalk, wash your car, etc...

Goal1_Support: Support

EnviroFlow: Standards

WaterAllocationPlan: Optional

WaterAllocationPlan_Conditions: If we were to have a water shortage given that we had such a small amount of snow this winter and say we are to have a very hot summer again, then there would have to be changes made to how much water people were able to use at a certain time.

DumpingProhibition: Amend

DumpingProhibition_Comments: People should be charged so heavily for dumping that it out weighs the cost of them having to pay to get rid of their waste in a controlled manner.

Goal2_Support: Support

Goal2_Options: Shared

Goal3_Support: Support

WaterUseEfficiency_1: Codes for efficient infrastructure and practices

WaterUseEfficiency_2: Use of incentives and economic instruments

AdminEfficiencyOptions_PermittedUse: Permitted use consistent

AdminEfficiencyOptions_WaterUse: Combine admin and efficiency

Flexibility_Support: Support

Flexibility_Comments: The ability to use water differently e.g. bring more land into productivity, change land appurtenance or use, or to use water for a higher economic purpose - I do not agree with this. If we have learned anything from the past in terms of things that impact the environment negatively it is in making changes to the natural flow of where water is to go. Money only lasts so long so diverting water for higher ecomnomic purposes is not a good option.

WaterAllocationSystem_Options: Priority use

WaterScarcityTemporary_Options: Sharing

WaterScarcityPermanent_Options: Through a mandatory Water Management Planning process

Goal4_Support: Neutral

PriorityAreas_Options: Significant population

FedAndProvLegIntegration: Perhaps the Government should consider provide people with the money/tax break/incentive to be able to install cistern into their existing properties as an opportunity for us to maximize the water which falls freely from the sky which would alleviate a huge amount of strain that is placed on our water system and would give people the feeling that they are able to do something for themselves in terms of sustainability and saving our environment.