From: nobody@scribe.env.gov.bc.ca
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 9:39:09 AM
To: Living Water Smart ENV:EX
Subject: Water Act Modernization Discussion Paper Feedback

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by
() on 2010 04 29, at 09:39:09

PERSONAL IDENTIFIERS REMOVED

Principles_Support: Neutral

Goal1_Support: Neutral

Goal1_Comments: we should begin by stopping the uncontroled logging in our watersheds so that we have water left to allocate.

EnviroFlow: Guidelines

WaterAllocationPlan: Required

DecisionMaker: Must Follow

DumpingProhibition: Maintain

Goal2_Support: Strongly Disagree

Goal2_Comments: industry usually puts their own interests ahead of all others

Goal2_Options: Shared

ScaleForWatershedPlanning: all watersheds should be appropriate for planning and management. water seems to be far more important in the rest of the world than in b.c.

Accountability: our forest act should not be dictating what requirements a mill has to go through to clearcut a watershed. ex-logger ministers of forest do not have other or downstream users interests in mind when they make their descions.

Goal3_Support: Neutral

Goal3_Comments: one of the biggest protectors of our watersheds are our forests. there must be far more thought put into our logging practices if there is going to be water to allocate or conserve.

WaterUseEfficiency_2: Review rules for transfer and apportionment

AdminEfficiencyOptions_SelfReg: Voluntary self-registration

Flexibility_Support: Strongly Disagree

WaterAllocationSystem_Options: FITFIR

WaterScarcityTemporary_Options: Priority date

AtRiskWatershedCriteria: all watersheds are priority in most parts of the world except in b.c.

Improve_InterestsTakenIntoAccount: agriculture must be given a high priority given that most people need to eat.

WhatHaveWeMissed: a large part of our problems are the virtualy unresticted amount of logging taking place in our watersheds. there is no use rewriting the water act if mills are allowed clearcut the watersheds with impunity.