From: nobody@scribe.env.gov.bc.ca
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 2:33:30 PM
To: Living Water Smart ENV:EX
Subject: Water Act Modernization Discussion Paper Feedback

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by
() on 2010 04 29, at 14:33:30

PERSONAL IDENTIFIERS REMOVED
ContactMethod: Email

Principles_Support: Strongly Support

Principles_Comments: Except their should be more emphasis on local government power in reviewing and awarding licenses.

Goal1_Support: Strongly Support

Goal1_Comments: Env. flows should not be considered, they should be the first priority and out weight any and all economic decisions upon which the levels affect.

EnviroFlow: Standards

WaterAllocationPlan: Required

DumpingProhibition: Amend

DumpingProhibition_Comments: Yes! This section should be much, much stronger. All too often riparian areas and streams are degraded and we are powerless to do anything about it. As a RPBio I spend a lot of time working in streams. Have reported many disturbances, pollution, etc. all to no avail.

Goal2_Support: Strongly Support

Goal2_Comments: Local government needs more involvement in planning and given more decision making powers.

Goal2_Options: Shared

Goal3_Support: Support

WaterUseEfficiency_1: Codes for efficient infrastructure and practices

WaterUseEfficiency_Comments: Science should come first, then domestic/farm consumption. Industrial and power generation should be lowest priority.

AdminEfficiencyOptions_PermittedUse: Permitted use consistent

AdminEfficiencyOptions_WaterUse: Combine admin and efficiency

Flexibility_Support: Strongly Support

Flexibility_Comments: Except I do NOT support the 'higher economic purpose' statement. Does not belong with the rest.

WaterAllocationSystem_Options: Priority use

WaterScarcityTemporary_Options: Sharing

WaterScarcityPermanent_Options: Through a mandatory Water Management Planning process

Goal4_Support: Strongly Support

Thresholds_Options: 500+100

PriorityAreas_Options: Combine priority areas