Sent: Saturday, May 01, 2010 12:14:58 AM
To: Living Water Smart ENV:EX
Subject: Water Act Modernization Discussion Paper Feedback

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by
() on 2010 05 01, at 00:14:58

PERSONAL IDENTIFIERS REMOVED

ContactMethod: Post

Principles_Support: Neutral

Goal1_Support: Support

EnviroFlow: Guidelines

WaterAllocationPlan: Optional

DumpingProhibition: Amend

Goal2_Support: Support

Goal2_Options: Shared

Accountability: elected representatives to be involved, vs. appointed or bureaucracy

Goal3_Support: Strongly Disagree

Goal3_Comments: The issue of "problem area" needs clear definition. Control should be at the local gov't level, if any.

WaterUseEfficiency_Comments: I don't care for any of the choices as worded.

AdminEfficiencyOptions_PermittedUse: Permitted use different

AdminEfficiencyOptions_SelfReg: Required self-registration

PermittedUseConsiderations: Common sense/ rights of the commons

AdminEfficiencyWaterUse_Comments: No registration required unless the watershed is effected.

Flexibility_Support: Strongly Disagree

Flexibility_Comments: People come before economics!

WaterAllocationSystem_Options: FITFIR

WaterScarcityTemporary_Options: Discretional

WaterScarcityPermanent_Options: Water Management Planning upon request

Goal4_Support: Strongly Disagree

Goal4_Comments: The general regulation of groundwater is not a desirable outcome. Exceptions are large withdrawals, as determined by a public consultation.

Thresholds_Comments: I would need more information to make an informed choice.

PriorityAreas_Options: Significant population

Improve_InterestsTakenIntoAccount: We have a complex Province. Community involvement at the local level needs to be paramount.