From: nobody@scribe.env.gov.bc.ca
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 10:32:34 PM
To: Living Water Smart ENV:EX
Subject: Water Act Modernization Discussion Paper Feedback

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by
() on 2010 04 30, at 22:32:34

PERSONAL IDENTIFIERS REMOVED

ContactMethod: Post

Principles_Comments: the water act should remain exactly hoe it is now period !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Goal1_Comments: everything should remain the same. Allthough more should be done to enforce the laws we have now !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! poor logging practice is destroying small streams. Maybe an gov employee should have a look around instead of having them police themselves.

EnviroFlow_Comments: A or B are tainted questions and may be used to the benefit of the highest bidder. You can do better than that

DecisionMaker_Comments: Nothing wrong with the way it is. Why spend money on this. Big Waste !!!!!!!!!!!!!!

WaterAllocationPlan_Conditions: again let it be

DumpingProhibition_Comments: wow either way your allowing dumping into streams. How green of you.

Goal2_Support: Strongly Disagree

Goal2_Comments: Water for all not just for the proponents government only . therefore they are held accountable. 0 private interest involved

ScaleForWatershedPlanning: large scale only. Fraser size

GovernanceFundingSolutions: Gov only. No private

Accountability: Everything in the open with weekly accurate updates

BenefitsOfSharedRoles: 0 benefits!!!!!!! no room for error, lies and deceit

Goal3_Comments: the allocation system is flawed just like fisheries. Have you retards not learned.

WaterUseEfficiency_Comments: leave it be once again. Waste money much ?

AdministrativeEfficiency_Comments: flawed question

PermittedUseConsiderations: should remain as is

AdminEfficiencyOptions_WaterUse: Combine admin and efficiency

AdminEfficiencyWaterUse_Comments: keepit as is.

Flexibility_Support: Strongly Disagree

Flexibility_Comments: keep as is!!!

WaterAllocationSystem_Comments: keep it the same !!!!!!!!

WaterScarcityTemporary_Comments: keep the same

WaterScarcityPermanent_Comments: let the public decide

Goal4_Comments: let the public decide in a case by case

Thresholds_Comments: you just want to sell sell sell our water. Have to balance budget ?

PriorityAreas_Options: Combine priority areas

FedAndProvLegIntegration: keep it the same

AtRiskWatershedCriteria: keep it the same

AffectOnCommunity: keep it the same

Improve_InterestsTakenIntoAccount: keep it the same

KindsOfCollaborativeProcesses: keep it the same

AdequatelyEquipFutureGenerations: keep it the same

WhatHaveWeMissed: what you have missed is you think things need changing. Well if it isn't broke dont fix it. Although liberals have not heard of that.